UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,731	06/19/2006	William M. Dries	011765-0325202	9830
909 7590 02/04/2009 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			EXAMINER	
			MEW, KEVIN D	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,731	DRIES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Mew	2416
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,10-15 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-9, 16-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and, Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2006 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	rawn from consideration. . /or election requirement. ner. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/17/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2416

Detailed Action

Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 10, which is in alternative form, cannot depend on any other multiple dependent claim such as claim 8. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 10 has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 19, which is in alternative form, cannot depend on any other multiple dependent claim such as claim 16. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 19 has not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 12, 13, 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 1, claim 12, replace the word "anaylser" with "analyzer."

In line 4, claim 12, replace the word "anayse" with "analyze."

In line 1, claim 13, replace the word "analyser" with "analyzer."

In lines 1, 9, claim 20, replace the word "analysing" with "analyzing."

In line 6, claim 20, replace the word "analysed" with "analyzed."

In line 8, clam 20, replace the word "analyse" with "analyze."

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2416

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of In Re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385. The instant claims are neither positively tied to a particular machine that accomplishes the claimed method steps nor transform underlying subject matter, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. The method steps of enhancing resource management for routing events, as claimed in claim 14, are broad enough that the claim could be completely performed mentally or without a machine nor is any transformation apparent.

Art Unit: 2416

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-2, 10-15, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alexander et al. (US Publication 2003/0002474).

Regarding claim 1, Alexander discloses a data merge unit (merging network, Fig. 2) for providing an interleaved data stream (creating interleaved groups, paragraph 0036), the data stream including data frames received on two or more input channels (the data stream including data received a plurality of input buses, paragraphs 0034-0035, Fig. 2), wherein complete data frames from each of the two or more input channels are arranged in time-slots of the interleaved data stream (generating time-multiplexed constant-width output data stream, paragraph 0020), the data merge unit (merging network, Fig. 2) comprising:

an input unit to receive data frames (shuffle buffer system to receive data streams, paragraph 0035 and element 7, Fig. 2) from two or more input channels (from a plurality of input buses, paragraph 0035 and element 5, Fig. 2);

a frame merge buffer (shuffle buffer) arranged to receive data frames from the two or more input channels via the input unit (reorders incoming data from the input buses) and store said data frames (and buffers them, paragraph 0036, and Fig. 2); and,

an output generator to generate the interleaved data stream (permutation network to create interleaved groups, element 9, Fig. 2), the output generator being configured to select complete data frames from the frame merge buffer (based on the input data width) and arrange said complete data frames in the interleaved data stream (rearranges the different data streams, paragraph 0036, and element 9, Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 2, Alexander discloses a data merge unit according to claim 1, in which the input unit is arranged to identify the end of each of said data frames (special spatial boundaries, paragraph 0022) and generate a pointer to identify the location of the end of each of said data frames in the frame merge buffer, for use in generating the interleaved data stream (creating interleaved words having a width wider than any of the received streams of data, paragraph 0022).

Regarding claim 10, Alexander discloses a data merge unit according to any of claims 1 to 9, the data merge unit being a hardware data merge unit (merging network comprises a plurality of hardware networks such as shuffle buffer network, permutation network, and pipelined butterfly network, paragraphs 0021-0022, and Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 11, Alexander discloses a data merge unit according to claim 10, in which the data merge unit is arranged in hardware selected from the group consisting of one or

more Application Specific Integrated Circuits, one or more Field Programmable Gate Arrays, or any other suitably configured hardware (multi-stream merge apparatus, Figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 12, Alexander discloses a network analyzer, comprising:

a data merge unit according to any of claims 1 to 11 (merging network, Fig. 2); and,

a logic unit (pipelined butterfly network, paragraph 0020 and element 11, Fig. 2) to analyze the interleaved data stream provided by said data merge unit (rearranging the received data streams into a time-multiplexed constant-width output data stream, paragraph 0020) and store said data frames (receiving a plurality of data streams, paragraph 0020).

Regarding claim 13, Alexander discloses a network analyzer according to claim 12, in which the logic unit is configured to provide one or more functions selected from the group consisting of network management and network load balancing (providing functions to manage multiple data streams, paragraph 0020).

Regarding claim 14, Alexander discloses a method of producing an interleaved data stream of data frames received on two or more input channels, the interleaved data stream being made up of complete data frames from each of the two or more input channels arranged in defined time-slots, the method comprising:

receiving one or more data frames on two or more input channels (shuffle buffer system to receive data streams from a plurality of input buses, paragraph 0035 and elements 5, 7, Fig. 2);

storing said received data frames in a frame merge buffer (shuffle buffer receives and buffers the received data streams, paragraph 0036 and Fig. 2); and,

selecting complete data frames from the frame merge buffer (permutation network to create interleaved groups from the shuffle buffer, element 9, Fig. 2) and arranging said complete data frames in the interleaved data stream (rearranges the different data streams, paragraph 0036, and element 9, Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 15, Alexander discloses a method according to claim 14, in which the method comprises:

storing a pointer to identify the end of each of said received data frames (special spatial boundaries, paragraph 0022); and,

using said stored pointers to generate said interleaved data stream (creating interleaved words having a width wider than any of the received streams of data, paragraph 0022).

Regarding claim 19, Alexander discloses a method according to any of claims 14 to 18, in which the interleaved data stream is generated at full line rate of the input channels (output

data stream having a width equal to the sum of the widths of the input data streams, paragraph 0020).

Regarding claim 20, Alexander discloses a method of analyzing a network, the method comprising:

producing an interleaved data stream of complete data frames received on two or more input channels according to the method of any of claims 14 to 19 (shuffle buffer system to receive data streams from a plurality of input buses, paragraph 0035 and elements 5, 7, Fig. 2), the data channels associated with a network to be analyzed (associated with telecommunications network, paragraph 0002);

providing said interleaved data stream to logic to analyze said data stream buffer (permutation network to create interleaved groups from the shuffle buffer, element 9, Fig. 2); and,

analyzing said data stream, thereby analyzing the network (analyzing data streams, paragraphs 0035-0037, 0039).

Regarding claim 21, Alexander discloses a data merge unit, comprising:

input means to receive data frames from two or more input channels (shuffle buffer system to receive data streams from a plurality of input buses, paragraph 0035 and elements 5, 7, Fig. 2);

Art Unit: 2416

data merge means (shuffle buffer system, permutation network, pipelines butterfly network, paragraphs 0035-0037, 0039 and elements 7, 9, 11, Fig. 2) to merge complete data frames received from the two or more input channels into a time division multiplexed interleaved data stream (generating time-multiplexed constant-width output data stream, paragraph 0020), wherein the data merge unit is arranged in hardware (multi-stream merge apparatus, Figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 22, Alexander discloses a data merge unit according to claim 21, in which the hardware is selected from the group consisting of one or more Application Specific Integrated Circuits, one or more Field Programmable Gate Arrays, or any other suitably configured hardware (multi-stream merge apparatus, Figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 23, Alexander discloses a data merge unit according to claim 21 or 22, in which the data merge means is arranged when merging data into the time division multiplexed interleaved data stream to ensure only complete data frames are provided in the time division multiplexed interleaved data stream (generating time-multiplexed constant-width output data stream, paragraph 0020).

Art Unit: 2416

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-9, 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In claim 3, a data merge unit according to claim 1, in which the output generator is operable in a first mode to select complete data frames in the frame merge buffer from an identified input channel and output the selected frames in a corresponding time-slot of the interleaved data stream for that input channel independently of other input channels and, in a second mode in which complete data frames from two or more of the two or more input channels are selected from the frame merge buffer and merged and provided in a common time-slot of the interleaved data stream.

In claim 8, a data merge unit according to any of claims 1 to 7, in which the input unit comprises a round-robin input arbiter arranged to service each of the two or more input channels and provide data frames therefrom to the frame merge buffer.

In claim 16, a method according to claims 14 or 15, in which the method comprises:

Art Unit: 2416

in a first mode outputting complete data frames from a selected input channel in a corresponding time-slot of the interleaved data stream for that input channel independently of other input channels and in a second mode merging complete data frames from at least two of the two or more input channels and providing said merged data in a common time-slot of the interleaved data stream.

Art Unit: 2416

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Chi H Pham/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

2/2/09